## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,301	06/25/2003	Phillip Bowler	CV0317 NP	3224
	7590 04/11/200 ERS SQUIBB COMP	EXAMINER		
100 HEADQUARTERS PARK DRIVE			GHALI, ISIS A D	
SKILLMAN, NJ 08558			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		· 10/603,301	BOWLER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Isis A. Ghali	1615			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ja	nuary 2007.	•			
	·	action is non-final.				
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 25-28 is/are pending in the application	1.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-28</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
_	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage			
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment		_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		ate ratent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The receipt is acknowledges of applicants' amendment filed 01/30/2007.

Claims 1-24 have been canceled and claims 25-28 have been added.

Claims 25-28 are pending and included in the prosecution.

The following rejection has been overcome by virtue of applicants' amendment and remarks:

The anticipatory rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) over WO '839, WO, 743 'WO 755, Nathan et al., EP '722, WO '173, US '888, US '782 and US '093.

# This new ground of rejection is necessitated by applicants' amendment: Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to

/

Application/Control Number: 10/603,301 Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The new claims added new matter by recitation of "when measured after 5 hours". Nowhere in the specification applicants have disclosed such a limitation. Example 4 showed 0.8 ppm silver ions in water at 5 hours, and also showed 0.7 at 53 hours.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1615

5. Claims 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any of WO 01/024839 ('839), WO 02/43743 ('743), WO 02/078755 ('755), Nathan et al., EP 361 722 ('722), WO 00/09173 ('173), US 6,592,888 ('888), or US 2002/0172709 ('709)

WO '839 teaches silver containing antimicrobial hydrophilic material for stabilizing silver comprises matrix comprising polysaccharide incorporating silver (abstract; page 9, lines 16-18). The reference disclosed wound healing and treatment devices (page 9, lines 13-15). The matrix can be in the form of fibers or films (page 10, lines 31-33). The hydrophilic material can be polyacrylate, polyacrylamide, polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP), polyurethanes or polysaccharides (page 11, lines 13-18; page 16, lines 10-20). The matrices deliver active agent, preferably silver, to the wound site including silver ions (page 15, lines 19-22, 27-28). Inclusion of silver in the polymer matrix prevents discoloration and enhances antimicrobial activity (page 20, lines 14-15, 22-32; page 21, lines 19-39; page 24, lines 30-39). The amount of silver in the dressing is calculated to be 0.002 to 3.1% (page 22, lines 23-30).

WO '743 teaches photo stable wound dressing comprise hydrophilic, amphoteric or anionic polymer and silver to prevent discoloration of the dressing (abstract; page 1, lines 20-23; page 3, lines 24-30). The polymers include polysaccharide and modified polysaccharides, PVP, polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), polyurethanes, polyacrylates, polyacrylamides, collagen, gelatin or mixtures thereof (page 5, lines 11-15). The amount of silver in the polymer material is between 0.1 to 20% (page 6, lines 5-7). The polymer material can be in the form of fibers (page 5, line 4). ). Silver is released from the

Art Unit: 1615

wound dressing upon rehydration, i.e. contacting the wound exudates (page 8, lines 3-4).

WO '755 teaches wound dressing comprising silver and being capable of releasing antimicrobial silver ions to the wound (abstract; page 14, table 2). The dressing comprises adhesive matrix comprising the silver compound (page 3, last full paragraph). The matrix is polyurethane polymer, inherently hydrophilic, and the amount of silver compound in the dressing is calculated to be about 10% (page 12, example 1).

Nathan et al. teaches wound dressing comprising matrix comprising silver and acrylate polymer (abstract). The amount of silver compound in the dressing between 0.003 to 1.0% (page 1016, left column, first paragraph).

EP '722 teaches wound dressing comprises matrix of polyacrylate and silver compound in an amount 0.5 to 3.0% (abstract; page 3, lines 29, 43, 67-68; example 1).

WO '173 teaches wound dressing comprises hydrophilic polymer and silver compound to prevent discoloration of the dressing (abstract; page 7, line 15). The hydrophilic polymers include polysaccharide, PVP, polyurethanes, polyacrylates, and collagen (page 6, lines 6-10, 13; page 7, lines 1-3). The amount of silver in the polymer material preferably is between 0.5 to 5% (page 7, lines 510-12). The polymer material can be in the form of powder (page 8, line 5). Silver is released from the wound dressing upon rehydration, i.e. contacting the wound exudates (page 8, lines 3-4).

US '888 teaches wound dressing comprising antimicrobial silver in a polyurethane adhesive and releases the silver ions into the wound bed (abstract; col.3,

Application/Control Number: 10/603,301

Art Unit: 1615

lines 30-40; col.5, lines 5-9; col.8, lines 1-4). The silver is present in an amount about

1.0% (col.6, lines 53-54).

US '709 teaches wound dressing comprising silver and being capable of releasing antimicrobial silver ions to the wound. The dressing comprises adhesive matrix comprising the silver compound. The matrix is polyurethane polymer, inherently hydrophilic, and the amount of silver compound in the dressing is calculated to be about 10%. (abstract; paragraphs: 0016-0020, 0029-0032, 0044, 0045, 0060, 0061, 0093).

However, the references do not teach the amount of release of ionic silver into water.

The references disclose the release of ionic silver into the wound from wound dressings made from the same materials as claimed by applicants, and further all the references teach the same amount of silver in the wound dressings, and it is expected that the matrix disclosed by any of the references made of the same material and comprises the same amount of silver as instantly claimed will release the same amount of silver into the wound.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide wound dressing comprising hydrophilic polymer matrix incorporating silver as disclosed by any of the references, with reasonable expectation of having release of silver ions from the matrix to the wound in an amount less than 1 ppm since silver is incorporated in the same amount in the same hydrophilic polymer matrices.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,301 Page 7

Art Unit: 1615

#### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 01/30/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants traverse the obviousness rejections over the cited references by arguing that there is no basis for the statement of the rejection and argue that Example 4 of the application shows that Acticoat Burn released 35.1 ppm of silver after 5 hours compared to 0.8 ppm for a dressing according to the invention.

In response to this argument, it is argued that all the references disclose the release of ionic silver into the wound from wound dressings made from the same polymer materials as claimed by applicants, and further all the references teach the same amount of silver in the wound dressings, and it is expected that the matrix disclosed by any of the references made of the same material and comprises the same amount of silver as instantly claimed will release the same amount of silver into the wound. Regarding example 4 that compare Acticoat, which is the wound dressing of WO '734, with the present invention, this comparison is unfair and unclear because it does not show the concentration of silver ions in the dressing of the present invention or which embodiment of WO '743 is used in the comparative data. WO '743 disclosed on page 6, line 7, 6 different embodiments have silver concentrations of: 0.1-10%, 1-10%, 10-20%, 5-20%, 5-10% and 0.1-1%. According to applicants' disclosure on page 10, lines 12-13, the present invention is prepared according to WO '743. The burden is on applicants to show unexpected results if equivalent embodiments of WO '743 and the present invention are compared.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,301

Art Unit: 1615

The following objections to the specification have been discussed in the office action mailed 06/22/2006, and are maintained for reasons of record:

### Specification

7. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

#### Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

8. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

#### Response to Arguments

9. This objections made to the specification are maintained because applicants did not argue or respond to by making corrections.

#### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,301 Page 10

Art Unit: 1615

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Isis A. Ghali whose telephone number is (571) 272-

0595. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Isis A Ghali

Primary Examiner

Lisshel

Art Unit 1615

IG

ISIS GHALI PRIMARY EXAMINER